

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,820	02/19/2002	Michael Bach	32860-000186/US 6263		
30596	7590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			LEE, JINHEE J		
P.O.BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2831		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/200	4 ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/049,820	BACH ET AL.	e/	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jinhee J Lee	2831		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	·. In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensifee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ion
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	е
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	t
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
plean a Reichard 3/5/04	
/ DEAN A. HEIUMANU '	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Part of Paper No. 0204

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment gives a new limitation describing the "outer contour". This requires further consideration and search. The amended claims also broadens the scope of the claim. Furthermore, the examiner is not in receipt of the "red ink" version o the Drawing as cited by the applicant..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner has considered the arguments, and finds the arguments not pursuasive. Arguments for the preamble is denied the effect of a limitation; the intended use does not differenciate the claimed apparatus from a prior art; "adapted to" is not a positive limitation; and the examiner is not required to provide an affidavit to cite obvious differences that are cited by case laws.